Concrete Cleaning, Inc.
Centrifugal Shot Blaster:
Baseline Report
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SECTION 1 - SUMMARY

TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

The centrifugal shot blaster technology was tested and is being evaluated at Florida International University (FIU) as a baseline technology. In conjunction with FIU’s evaluation of efficiency and cost, this report covers the evaluation conducted for safety and health issues. It is a commercially available technology and has been used for various projects at locations throughout the country.

The centrifugal shot blaster is an electronically operated shot blast machine that has been modified to remove layers of concrete to varying depths. A hardened steel shot propelled at a high rate of speed abrades the surface of the concrete. The depth of material removed is determined by the rate of speed the machine is traveling and the volume of shot being fired into the blast chamber. The steel shot is recycled and used over until it is pulverized into dust, which ends up in the waste container with the concrete being removed. Debris is continually vacuumed by a large dust collection system attached to the shot blaster.

KEY RESULTS

The safety and health evaluation during the testing demonstration focused on two main areas of exposure: dust and noise. The dust exposure was minimal, but noise exposure was potentially significant. Further testing for each of these exposures is recommended because the outdoor environment where the testing demonstration took place may cause the results to be inapplicable to enclosed operating environments, where it is feasible that the dust and noise levels will be higher. Other safety and health issues found were ergonomics, heat stress, tripping hazards, electrical hazards, and lockout/tagout.
SECTION 2
TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

SYSTEM OPERATION

The centrifugal shot blaster technology was tested and is being evaluated at Florida International University (FIU) as a baseline technology. In conjunction with FIU’s evaluation of efficiency and cost, this report covers the evaluation conducted for safety and health issues. It is a commercially available technology and has been used for various projects at locations throughout the country.

The centrifugal shot blaster is an electronically operated shot blast machine that has been modified to remove layers of concrete to varying depths. A hardened steel shot propelled at a high rate of speed abrades the surface of the concrete. The depth of material removed is determined by the rate of speed the machine is traveling and the volume of shot being fired into the blast chamber. The steel shot is recycled and used over until it is pulverized into dust, which ends up in the waste container with the concrete being removed. Debris is continually vacuumed by a large dust collection system attached to the shot blaster. The vacuum system utilizes high efficiency particulate (HEPA) filters.

SECTION 3
HEALTH AND SAFETY EVALUATION

GENERAL SAFETY AND HEALTH CONCERNS

Personnel where the centrifugal shot blaster technology is being used need to be concerned with safety and health issues. Issues that personnel need to be cognizant of may be divided into two categories. Core issues are those that are based on current safety and health regulatory requirements. Best management practices are related to issues that are not based on current safety and health regulations but are key elements in preventing worker injury and illness on the job.

Safety and health issues of concern with the centrifugal shot blaster technology included:
Core Issues:

- Tripping hazards - the electric cords needed to operate the equipment are tripping hazards. Therefore, the need for stringent housekeeping must be evaluated.

- Pinch points - the potential exists for the operator to have his/her fingers/hand crushed if during operation the hand is placed in the area of the shot head or near any moving parts of the equipment. Blasting mode should never be activated while maintenance is being conducted on the head. This will be considered under a lockout/tagout program.

- Electrical hazards - the generator and electric cords necessary to operate the equipment can present electrical hazards, therefore, the need for ground fault circuit interrupters, grounding, and strain relief must be evaluated.

- Lockout/Tagout - the user of the technology will need to develop a lockout/tagout program to assure there is not an accidental release of energy during maintenance/repair activities.

- Noise - the user was subjected to a potentially significant amount of noise while operating the centrifugal shot blast.

- Dust - the equipment did not generate visible dust during operation, but larger debris and shot were left on the surface being blasted. The amount of dust generated in the breathing zone of the operator may change based on the environment in which the concrete decontamination is taking place; therefore, the user of the technology will need to develop a sampling plan based on the individual site needs. It should also be noted that there was potential for contamination by dust when emptying the collection pan.

A large amount of shot was left on the surface during blasting operations. This has the potential to become an airborne hazard, especially after the shot is pulverized to a dust. Additionally, the shot left on the surface caused the surface to become very slippery.

Best Management Practices:

- Heat stress - the operator was subjected to an increase in heat stress due to the need to utilize Anti-C PPE. The user will need to develop a heat stress program.
for the environment in which the technology is being used, taking into consideration any PPE that may need to be utilized.

- Ergonomics - the user was subjected to some ergonomic stressors that need to be taken into consideration, such as, stooping, bending, twisting, kneeling, and lifting. Of particular concern is the need to manually shovel the dust and debris from the dust pan. This adds an ergonomic stressor to the back, and causes an increase in exposure to the contaminant.

- Struck by hazards - there is potential for ancillary personnel in the area to be struck by or pinned by the operating shot blaster. The operator cannot see directly in front of the machine during operation. Additionally, the equipment was able to continue running even when the operator stepped away from it. This could lead to serious injury to the operator or other personnel in the area. The equipment needs to be fitted with a “dead man switch” in order to keep this from happening.

- Communication - due to the noise generated by the technology during operation, communication can be difficult. Personnel working in the area should be familiar with and use hand signals when needed.

**INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE MONITORING**

During the current testing demonstration with the concrete cleaning shot blast system, sampling was conducted for dust and noise. In addition, the wet-bulb globe temperature was monitored to evaluate heat stress. Observational evaluation was conducted for ergonomics and arm-hand vibration.

Through general observational techniques the potential for ergonomic problems was evaluated during the testing demonstration. There is potential for muscle/back stress and/or injuries due to bending, twisting, and lifting associated with setup, operation, maintenance, and decontamination. Of particular concern is the potential for back injury due to the need to shovel the dust collected in the dust pan. This would be eliminated by the use of filters on the vacuum system.

Heat stress was monitored using a Quest QuestTemp® 15 Heat Stress Monitor. The wet-bulb globe temperature was used to determine the work/rest regimen in accordance with the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienist (ACGIH) recommendations. The wet-bulb globe temperature was adjusted for the type of clothing, including PPE, that the worker was wearing.
In addition, the worker’s blood pressure, pulse, and temperature were monitored throughout the day. No problems were encountered due to heat stress but the worker’s comfort level was increased when not wearing the Anti-C PPE. While heat stress will be increased while wearing PPE, the overall heat stress response will vary from worker to worker. Each situation in which the current technology is used will need to be evaluated for the heat stress potential taking into consideration the wet-bulb globe temperature, PPE in use, physical condition of the worker, and amount of worker acclimatization.

Dust monitoring was conducted with a sampling train consisting of an SKC IOM Inhalable dust sampler coupled with a MSA Escort Elf air sampling pump. Pre- and post-sampling calibration was accomplished using a BIOS International DryCal DC1 primary calibration system. Sampling filters were desiccated pre- and post-sampling and weighed on a Denver Instrument Company A-200DS scale. Sampling was conducted in accordance with NIOSH method 0500.

Personal dust sampling was conducted on the equipment operator during blasting operations. Personal dust sampling results of 0.0 mg/m$^3$ were obtained for the operator. These values do not exceed the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) permissible exposure limit (PEL) or the ACGIH threshold limit value (TLV) of 15 mg/m$^3$ and 10 mg/m$^3$ respectively for total dust. There was however, a notable amount of shot left on the blasted surface. This could potentially become an airborne inhalation hazard, especially after the shot is pulverized and becomes a secondary source of dust. Due to this and the dust sampling being conducted in an outdoor environment with windy conditions, it is recommended that sampling be conducted while the shot blasting operation is being conducted in a closed environment. A complete air sampling plan for a site would need to be developed to include not only dust but other contaminants specific to the concrete decontamination project. (See Appendix B for sampling data).

Personal noise monitoring was conducted using Metrosonic db-3100 data logging noise dosimeters. Calibration was conducted pre- and post-monitoring using a Metrosonics CL304 acoustical calibrator. Monitoring was conducted on the operator for 1.2 hours (72 minutes) during operation of the centrifugal shot blast system. Monitoring during this time showed a noise dose of 17.36% which gives an 8-hour time-weighted average (TWA) of 77.4 dBA. If the operator continued to have the same level of noise exposure during the 8-hour shift, a projected 8-hour TWA would produce a noise dose of 116.95%, or an 8-hour TWA of 91.1 dBA. Additional operator measurements for 2.9 hours (174 minutes) showed a noise dose of 47.37%, which gives an 8-hour TWA of 84.6 dBA. If the operator continued to have the same level of noise exposure during the 8-hour shift, a projected 8-hour TWA would produce a noise dose of 130.63% or an 8-hour TWA of 91.9 dBA.

Monitoring was also conducted on the operator's assistant for 1.1 hours (67 minutes) during operation of the centrifugal shot blaster. Monitoring showed a noise dose of 6.30%, which gives an 8-hour TWA of 70.1 dBA. If the assistant continued to have the
same level of noise exposure during the 8-hour shift a projected 8-hour TWA would produce a noise dose of 57.23% or an 8-hour TWA of 85.97 dBA.

The OSHA allowable PEL for noise is a 100% dose or an 8-hour TWA of 90 dBA. The above noise doses and TWA’s show a potential for overexposure, depending on the amount of time spent operating the equipment. Neither the operator nor the assistant were over-exposed after 2.9 hours, 1.2 hours, or 1.1 hours of operation, but may be over-exposed after an 8-hour work shift. At these exposure levels, personnel may be required to be included in a hearing conservation program. Feasible engineering controls, administrative controls, and personal protective equipment (PPE-hearing protection devices) need to be used as appropriate. The percentage of time spent at each noise level that comprises the exposures can be seen in Appendix B.

During the 1.1 hours of operation for the assistant, the noise levels were averaged for each one-minute period of time. An overall average was calculated, yielding an average exposure level of 86.0 dBA for an 80 dB cutoff level, and 83.4 dBA for a 90 dB cutoff level. The average exposure level for the operator was 91.1 dBA for an 80 db cutoff level, and 90.6 dBA for a 90 db cutoff level for the 1.2 hours of operation; and 91.9 dBA for an 80 dB cutoff, and 91.0 dBA for a 90 dB cutoff level for the 2.9 hours of operation. OSHA requires an 80 db cutoff for hearing conservation measurements and a 90 db cutoff for engineering controls compliance measurements. The maximum sound level observed during the measurement period was 105.8 dBA for the assistant and 118.8 dBA for operator. The highest instantaneous sound pressure level was 130.0 dB for the assistant and 138.6 dB and 138.9 dB for the operator.

These measurements define noise as a potential exposure problem for personnel operating and assisting with the operation of the concrete cleaning centrifugal shot blaster system. It must also be noted that the equipment was being operated in an open outdoor environment at the time of the measurements. Operation in an enclosed facility would have the potential to increase the noise level due to other influences such as vibration and reverberation. Therefore it is recommended that noise monitoring be conducted while the equipment is being operated in a closed environment.
## HAZARD

### UNLOADING EQUIPMENT/SETUP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard</th>
<th>Corrective Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Pinch Points                    | * Use of hand protection  
                                          * Use of proper hand tools for the job |
| Slips/Trips/Falls               | * Awareness of the specific hazards  
                                          * Organizing of materials (housekeeping)  
                                          * Walking around areas that are wet/slippery when possible  
                                          * Walking around tripping hazards when possible |
| Struck by/Caught between        | * Awareness of where equipment is being moved to at all times  
                                          * Prohibit worker from being between moving and stationary objects at all times  
                                          * Keep personnel clear of moving objects  
                                          * Use of proper warning devices on equipment |
| Falling from above hazard       | * Prohibit workers from being under or too close to moving objects  
                                          * Only use equipment appropriate for the load  
                                          * Inspection program for equipment used to move heavy objects to assure in safe operating condition |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HAZARD</th>
<th>CORRECTIVE ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Muscular/Back Injury          | * Ergonomics training including safe lifting techniques  
* Use of equipment such as forklift or crane for unloading |
| Electrical Hazards            | * Assure worker properly trained in electrical safety  
* Assure all equipment properly grounded and use of ground fault circuit breakers. |
| **CHECKING BLAST AREA FOR “HOT SPOT”** |                                                                                     |
| Burn Hazard                   | * Wear gloves appropriate for use with hot surfaces  
* Worker awareness of potential for burn |
| **BLASTING CONCRETE**        |                                                                                     |
| Slips/Trips/Falls             | * Awareness of site specific hazards/cords/tether lines/etc.  
* Job site organization of materials (housekeeping)  
* Walk around hazards when possible |
| Restricted Communication      | *Hand signals as SOP’s                                                              |
| Noise                         | *Use engineering controls  
*Provide proper PPE devices and training  
*Use administrative controls |
| Struck by/caught between      | * Prohibit workers from being in front of the operating shot blaster  
* Prohibit workers from being between running machine and stationary object |
<p>| Exposure to Contaminant and Shot | * Unitization of proper PPE, including respiratory protection |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HAZARD</th>
<th>CORRECTIVE ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Better utilization of vacuum system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Use of HEPA filters with vacuum system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinch Points</td>
<td>* Use of hand protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Remote operations when possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscular/Back Injury</td>
<td>* Limit duration of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Training on proper lifting techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spread of Contamination</td>
<td>* Better utilization of vacuum system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DUMPING DUST PAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HAZARD</th>
<th>CORRECTIVE ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to Contaminants</td>
<td>* Use a vacuum system with HEPA filters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Use of proper PPE, including respiratory protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscular/Back Injury</td>
<td>* Use mechanical means for removal of dust/dust pan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slips/Trips/Falls</td>
<td>* Awareness of the specific hazards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Organizing of materials (housekeeping)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Walking around areas that are wet/slippery when possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Walking around tripping hazards when possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinch Points</td>
<td>* Hand protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Use of hand tools appropriate for the job when possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Communication</td>
<td>* Use of hand signals as SOP’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Reduce power/noise while changing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZARD</td>
<td>CORRECTIVE ACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Exposure to contaminant             | * Wear proper PPE and respiratory protection - may need additional gloves over anti-C gloves to avoid tears and rips to gloves  
* Have something to sit or kneel on so do not have additional personnel exposure from sitting or kneeling on contaminated surface |
| Accidental activation of moving parts| * Use proper lockout/tagout techniques                                           |
| Pinch Points                        | * Use of hand protection  
* Use of hand tools appropriate for the job                                        |
| Slips/Trips/Falls                   | * Awareness of the specific hazards  
* Organizing of materials (housekeeping)  
* Walking around areas that are wet/slippery when possible  
* Walking around tripping hazards when possible |
| Ergonomics/Bending/Kneeling/Lifting | * Limit duration of work  
* Use proper lifting techniques  
* Perform maintenance on elevated work platform  
* Ergonomics training to include proper lifting techniques |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAILURE MODE</th>
<th>EFFECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Lose vacuum pressure                   | *Potential for higher concentration of contaminant to be released into atmosphere  
                                        *Potential for greater amount of shot to be left on surface |
| Vacuum line is punctured/ruptured      | *Potential for higher concentration of contaminant to be released into atmosphere |
| Improper grounding of electrical      | *Potential electrocution hazard for workers                             |
| components                             |                                                                        |
| Lose power                             | *Equipment shuts down with potential to momentarily release higher concentration of contaminant into atmosphere |
| E-stop fails                           | *Potential for injury as a result of condition that caused the E-stop to be used |
## SECTION 1: TECHNOLOGY IDENTITY

| Manufacturer’s Name and Address: | Concrete Cleaning, Inc.  
5110 N. Ormond  
Otis Orchards, WA 99027 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Emergency Contact:             | Mike Connacher  
(509)226-0315 |
| Information Contact:           | Mike Connacher  
(509)226-0315 |
| Date Prepared:                 |                                                                 |
| Other Names:                   | Shot Blaster |
| Signature of Preparer:         |                                                                 |
SECTION 2: PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The centrifugal shot blaster is an electronically operated shot blast machine that has been modified to remove layers of concrete to varying depths. A hardened steel shot propelled at a high rate of speed abrades the surface of the concrete. The depth of material removed is determined by the rate of speed the machine is traveling and the volume of shot being fired into the blast chamber. The steel shot is recycled and reused until it is pulverized into dust, which ends up in the waste container with the concrete being removed. Debris is continually vacuumed by a large dust collection system attached to the shot blaster.

SECTION 3: PROCESS DIAGRAM

A process diagram is not available from the manufacturer for the Concrete Cleaning, Inc. Centrifugal Shot Blast. To better understand the process for using the shot blaster, a process diagram should be obtained from the manufacturer of the equipment before use.

SECTION 4: CONTAMINANTS AND MEDIA

The technology has the potential to cause concrete dust and associated contaminants to become airborne. Specific contaminants need to be evaluated on a site-by-site, job-by-job basis to determine the potential for exposure.

SECTION 5: ASSOCIATED SAFETY HAZARDS

Probability of Occurrence of Hazard:
1 Hazard may be present but not expected over background level
2 Some level of hazard above background level known to be present
3 High hazard potential
4 Potential for imminent danger to life and health

A. ELECTRICAL (LOCKOUT/TAGOUT) RISK RATING: 2

Technology has the potential for electrical hazards. Assure proper grounding, use of ground fault circuit interrupters, and stress relievers on all equipment. Compliance with applicable electrical standards and codes and lockout/tagout procedures must be
### SECTION 5: ASSOCIATED SAFETY HAZARDS

followed to assure the safety of personnel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard</th>
<th>Risk Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. FIRE AND EXPLOSION</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology does not pose this hazard in and of itself but could not be used in an explosive environment due to the potential for sparking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. CONFINED SPACE ENTRY</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not part of this technology unless the specific location where shotblast is being used is a confined space. In this case, confined space procedures would need to be followed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. MECHANICAL HAZARDS</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of large equipment and hand tools may pose the following: pinch points, struck by, caught between, and fall from above hazards.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. PRESSURE HAZARDS</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not part of this technology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F. TRIPPING AND FALLING</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical cords present tripping hazards.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G. LADDERS AND PLATFORMS</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not part of this technology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H. MOVING VEHICLES</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The presence of multiple pieces of mobile equipment (used to unload and load the technology) in relationship to a small area of operation may pose a significant danger. Sufficient warning devices such as horn, bells, lights and back up alarms should be utilized. Personnel should be trained to work with and around moving equipment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. BURIED UTILITIES, DRUMS, AND TANKS</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not part of this technology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>J. PROTRUDING OBJECTS</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not part of this technology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K. GAS CYLINDERS</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not part of this technology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SECTION 5: ASSOCIATED SAFETY HAZARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L. TRENCHING AND EXCAVATIONS</th>
<th>RISK RATING: N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not part of this technology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M. OVERHEAD LIFTS</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unloading and loading of technology may require overhead lifts or the use of a forklift. Proper precautions indicated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N. OVERHEAD HAZARDS</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would only be present if a crane were required to unload or load equipment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SECTION 6: ASSOCIATED HEALTH HAZARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. INHALATION HAZARD</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology produces dust from the concrete and concrete contamination. Specific hazards will be identified from the site characterization. Evaluation of total dust and/or respirable dust generated should be conducted. The shot may also present an inhalation hazard especially as it becomes pulverized.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. SKIN ABSORPTION</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This would be dependent on the contaminants at the site and would be identified by the site characterization.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. HEAT STRESS</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambient atmospheric conditions correlated with PPE levels must be considered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D. NOISE</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The technology presents the potential for a noise hazard.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E. NON-IONIZING RADIATION</th>
<th>RISK RATING: N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not part of this technology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F. IONIZING RADIATION</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 1-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None associated with this technology, but the contaminated concrete may present a significant radiation exposure. This will be identified by the site characterization.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G. COLD STRESS</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology does not produce a hazard, but ambient conditions need to be considered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SECTION 6: ASSOCIATED HEALTH HAZARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H. ERGONOMIC HAZARDS</th>
<th>RISK RATING: 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poses ergonomic hazards associated with lifting, bending, twisting, stooping, kneeling. These may cause injury/strain to the back, knees, hips, and/or legs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. OTHER</td>
<td>RISK RATING: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SECTION 7: PHASE ANALYSIS

#### A. CONSTRUCTION/START-UP

The set-up/start-up phase presents several hazards including pinch points, slips/trips/falls, struck by/caught between, falling from above, muscular/back injury, and electrical.

#### B. OPERATION

The operational phase presents several hazards including exposure to contaminant (airborne and from the surface), muscular/back injury, mechanical hazards, electrical hazards, and exposure to noise.

#### C. MAINTENANCE

The maintenance phase presents several hazards including pinch points, slips/trips/falls, struck by/caught between, muscular/back injury, electrical, exposure to contaminants (airborne and from the surface), and accidental activation of moving parts.

#### D. DECOMMISSIONING

The decommissioning phase presents several hazards including exposure to the contaminant, pinch points, slips/trips/falls, and muscular/back injury.

### SECTION 8: HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN REQUIRED ELEMENTS

#### A. AIR MONITORING

When concrete is blasted, total and respirable dust need to be monitored. Monitoring also needs to be done for specific concrete contaminants and may need to be conducted for specific constituents of the concrete such as silica. In addition, noise monitoring is essential.
## SECTION 8: HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN REQUIRED ELEMENTS

### B. WORKER TRAINING

Training that would apply in this case may include but not be limited to: HAZWOPER (Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response), HAZCOM (Hazard Communication), Respiratory Protection, Hearing Conservation, Ergonomics (proper lifting, bending, stooping, kneeling), specific training for equipment operation, CPR/First Aid/Emergency Response/Bloodborne Pathogens, Electrical Safety, Lockout/Tagout, Radiation Safety, Hand Signal Communication, Construction Safety (OSHA 500) and or General Industry Safety (OSHA 501)

### C. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Emergency response planning for a site needs to assure adequate coverage for hazards described in the TSDS. Having as many workers as possible trained in CPR and first aid is recommended.

### D. MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE

Evaluation of personnel’s general health with emphasis on the cardiovascular and respiratory system, back, and peripheral nervous system. Annual audiograms.

### E. INFORMATIONAL PROGRAM

Workers must be trained in specific operation of equipment before use.

## SECTION 9: COMMENTS AND SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Due to the noise produced, communication may become difficult. Personnel working in the area should be familiar with and use hand signals as necessary.

Only personnel who have been adequately trained in the operation of this technology should be permitted to operate and/or work with the equipment.
HUMAN FACTORS INTERFACE

The technologies being tested for concrete decontamination and decommissioning are targeted for alpha contaminated concrete. Therefore, the equipment operator and assistant were dressed out in Anti-C (alpha radiation) PPE which included cloth suit, hood, inner and outer boots, inner and outer gloves, and full face air-purifying respirator. Due to the full face respirator, the operators had some visibility problems while operating the equipment. There was also a decrease in dexterity due to the gloves which caused some problems during maintenance activities because of a loss of tactile sensation. In addition, the need to perform work in the Anti-C PPE caused some increase in heat stress for the operator and assistant.

If the concrete being decontaminated had contamination other than or in addition to alpha radiation, additional levels of protection, such as Level A or Level B PPE, may be required for the operator. These may create additional human interface problems such as a greater decrease in visibility and manual dexterity, an increase in heat stress, and an overall increase in physical stress. It is recommended that additional safety and health evaluations be conducted utilizing these higher levels of protection.

The main ergonomic concern was shoveling the dust collected in the dust pan. This greatly increased the potential for exposure to the dust and associated contaminants and presented an extreme potential for back injury from shoveling.

EMERGENCY RESPONSE/PREPAREDNESS

The use of the Concrete Cleaning shot blast technology would not be applicable to emergency response.

Emergency response/preparedness must be part of every hazardous waste site safety and health plan. In addition to credible site emergencies, site personnel must plan for credible emergencies in connection with the centrifugal shot blaster.

All precautions used when responding to an emergency situation at the site will apply. Before entering an area where the centrifugal shot blaster is being used, the equipment needs to be completely shut down (de-energized).

This technology does not appear to present any conditions that would lead to out of the ordinary emergencies.
SECTION 4
TECHNOLOGY APPLICABILITY

On observation the technology did not deposit much visible dust into the atmosphere and air monitoring did not show a significant dust level but this was difficult to assess due to the windy outdoor testing environment. There was a large amount of shot left on the surface during blasting operation. The system needs to be evaluated to determine if an increase in vacuum air flow would help with this problem.

The centrifugal shot blast will need to be torn down to be decontaminated. This will not necessarily guarantee that decontamination for alpha will be complete and it will be difficult to survey for alpha contamination due to all of the small hard to reach (with a probe) spaces inherent in the equipment. There is also concern for the amount of contamination that may have been spread to the internal parts of the equipment when shot that has been on the surface is deposited back into the shot blaster for use.

SECTION 5
REGULATORY/POLICY ISSUES

The site safety and health personnel where the concrete cleaning centrifugal shot blast technology is being used need to be concerned with safety and health regulations applicable to the issues discussed above. Regulations that apply may be divided into four categories. Core requirements are those regulations that would apply to any hazardous waste work site, regardless of the type of job. Technology specific requirements are those regulations that apply due to the specific technology being used. Special requirements are standards and policies that are specific to the technology itself but are not required by reference in a regulation. Best management practices are not required but are recommended by organizations such as the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), the National Institute of Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH), Department of Energy (DOE), National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), etc. These regulations/standards may include but not be limited to the following:

Core requirements:

♦ OSHA 29 CFR 1926.25 Housekeeping
♦ OSHA 29 CFR 1926.53 Ionizing Radiation
♦ OSHA 29 CFR 1910.96 Ionizing Radiation
♦ OSHA 29 CFR 1926 Subpart Z Toxic and Hazardous Substances
OSHA 29 CFR 1910 Subpart Z Toxic and Hazardous Substances

OSHA 29 CFR 1926.59 Hazard Communication

OSHA 29 CFR 1910.1200 Hazard Communication

OSHA 29 CFR 1926.64 Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals


OSHA 29 CFR 1926.65 Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response

OSHA 29 CFR 1910.120 Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response

Occupational Safety and Health Act 1970(5)(a)(1) General Duty Clause

**Technology specific requirements:**

OSHA 29 CFR 1926 Subpart K Electrical

OSHA 29 CFR 1910 Subpart S Electrical

OSHA 29 CFR 1910 Subpart O Machinery and Machine Guarding

OSHA 29 CFR 1910.147 The Control of Hazardous Energy (Lockout/Tagout)

OSHA 29 CFR 1926.52 Occupational Noise Exposure

OSHA 29 CFR 1910.95 Occupational Noise Exposure

OSHA 29 CFR 1926.103 Respiratory Protection

OSHA 29 CFR 1910.134 Respiratory Protection

OSHA 29 CFR 1926.102 Eye and Face Protection

OSHA 29 CFR 1910.133 Eye and Face Protection

OSHA 29 CFR 1926.28 Personal Protective Equipment

OSHA 29 CFR 1910.132 General Requirements (Personal Protective Equipment)
In addition to the above regulations and policies, it is imperative that all workers have appropriate and adequate training for the task and associated safety and health conditions. Training that would be required may be divided into four categories. Core training is that which is required for anyone entering a hazardous waste site to perform work, regardless of the type of job. Technology specific training is that training that is specific to the technology and required by safety and health standards. Special training is that which is specific to the technology to assure the worker is adequately trained for the task but is not necessarily required by safety and health standards. Best management practices are trainings that while not mandated by health and safety standards, provide information and knowledge to the worker that will allow the worker to perform his/her job safely. Training to be applied for the centrifugal shotblast technology may include but not be limited to:

**Core training requirements:**

- HAZWOPER (Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response)
- HAZCOM (Hazard Communication)
- Radiation Safety (Radiation Worker Training) for radiation sites

**Technology Specific Training:**

- Respiratory Protection
- Hearing Conservation
- Personal Protective Equipment
- Electrical Safety
- Lockout/Tagout

**Special training:**

- Job specific training for equipment operation
Best Management Practice training:

- Ergonomics (proper lifting, bending, stooping, kneeling, safe shoveling techniques)
- Heat stress (learning to recognize signs and symptoms)
- CPR/First Aid/Emergency Response/Blood-borne Pathogens
- Hand Signal Communication
- Construction Safety (OSHA 500) and or General Industry Safety (OSHA 501)

SECTION 6
OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations made here for improved worker safety and health take into consideration the operation of the centrifugal shot blast without a HEPA vacuum system. Specific recommendations include:

- It needs to be assured that workers are aware of the tripping hazards associated with hoses and cords that are necessary to operate the equipment. Keeping these as orderly as possible in compliance with good housekeeping regulations will help avoid injury due to tripping. In addition, the operators had to find somewhere to place tools that were being used during operations. A specific place for tools located on the operating station would improve housekeeping in this area.

- Operators and assistants need to have training in ergonomics to assure proper techniques in lifting, bending, stooping, twisting, etc. during equipment operation and shoveling activities. It should be required that the system only be operated with a HEPA filter vacuum system.

- The equipment did not require the operator to have control of the centrifugal shot blast at all times in order to keep it running. The operator was able to leave the equipment and walk in front of or behind it. This could lead to a struck by, contacted by, or caught between accident. Standard Operating Procedures (SOP’s) need to be developed that require the operator to stay with the equipment at all times during operation. In addition, the operator was not able to see over the equipment and had to look around it while operating to see what was in front of him. This could cause the assistant to be struck by, contacted by, or caught between the equipment and a wall surface. Mounting a camera on the front of the equipment with a viewing screen at the operators location or designing the equipment for remote operation would alleviate this problem.
There is an E-stop located on the centrifugal shot blast but because the operator had to stand to the left of the equipment (to see in front of it) during operation, he was not within arm's length of the E-stop. This presents an increase in the potential to cause harm by whatever conditions cause the E-stop to be needed. The E-stop needs to be located within easy access of the operator at all times.

The use of the dust pan made it necessary for the workers to shovel the accumulated dust to empty the pan. This has the potential to cause ergonomic problems such as back stress/injury and greatly increases the potential for exposure to the contaminant. The shot blaster should be used with a HEPA filter vacuum system.

During blasting operations, there was a significant amount of shot left on the surface. This was collected using a large magnet and placed back into the blaster for reuse. Shot that is not left on the surface is recycled back into the equipment for reuse. Both of these practices have the potential to spread contamination to the internal parts of the equipment. The vacuum system needs to be evaluated to determine if an increase in air flow will help this problem.

The shot left on the surface makes it very slippery. Further, it can create a secondary waste problem, because when it gets wet it rusts. A design change to the vacuum system will also help with these problems.

Prior to operation the operator has to check the blast area for a “hot spot.” This has the potential to literally be hot and cause a burn. The operator must be aware of this and use the appropriate gloves while checking for a “hot spot.”

There is potential for overexposure to noise during operation of the technology. Since testing was done in an outdoor environment, it is plausible that the noise levels would increase in an enclosed environment. The equipment needs to be evaluated for possible engineering controls to help decrease the noise exposure to the operator. If engineering controls are not possible, administrative controls, and/or adequate hearing protection must be incorporated during operation.

Due to the windy outdoor environment in which the testing demonstration was conducted and therefore, the dust and noise monitoring was conducted, it is recommended that further testing for dust exposure and noise exposure be conducted while the technology is used in an enclosed environment similar to environments in which it would be used at a hazardous waste clean-up site. This would also allow for a more thorough evaluation of the heat stress to be encountered while wearing the appropriate PPE.

It is also recommended that the operation and maintenance activities be evaluated while the operator is wearing Level A and Level B PPE since these levels may be
needed in environments where the concrete contamination is mixed in nature or other than radiation.

The safety and health issues discussed throughout this report could be reduced and in some cases eliminated if this type of scabbling technology could operate remotely.
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## APPENDIX B
### IH SAMPLING DATA

**Concrete Cleaning, Inc. Centrifugal Shot Blast Total Dust Sampling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>* Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/29/96</td>
<td>052996-FIU-022</td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0.0000 mg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/29/96</td>
<td>052996-FIU-023</td>
<td>Total dust</td>
<td>0.0000 mg/m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The OSHA PEL for total dust is 15 mg/m³ and the ACGIH TLV is 10 mg/m³. Current sampling was conducted for total dust. The need to sample for respirable dust and silica has to be considered during concrete decontamination and decommissioning activities.
The percentage of time spent at each decibel level can be obtained from the graph. As shown, 53.382% of the time the noise exposure was less than 85 dBA which means that the majority of the time or 46.618% of the time the noise exposure was at sound levels above 85 dBA. OSHA requires that a hearing conservation program be initiated if the 8-hour TWA is 85 dBA.
The percentage of time spent at each decibel level can be obtained from the graph. As shown, 36.248% of the time the noise exposure was less than 85 dBA which means that the majority of the time or 63.752% of the time the noise exposure was at sound levels above 85 dBA. OSHA requires that a hearing conservation program be initiated if the 8-hour TWA is 85 dBA.
The percentage of time spent at each decibel level can be obtained from the graph. As shown, 58.898% of the time the noise exposure was less than 85 dBA which means that the majority of the time or 41.102% of the time the noise exposure was at sound levels above 85 dBA. OSHA requires that a hearing conservation program be initiated if the 8-hour TWA is 85 dBA.